arXiv:1302.4520v2 [hep-th] 22 Feb 2013

Uncertainty Relations and Quantum Effects of Constraints in Chern-Simons Theory

M. Nakamura[1]

Department of Business Adminitaration and Informatics, Hmamatsu University, Miyakoda-cho 1230, Kita-ku, Hamamatsu-shi, Shizuoka 431-2102, Japan

Abstract

It is well known that Chern-Simons Theories are in the constrained systems and their total Hamiltonians become identically zero, because of their gauge invariance. While treating the constraints quantum mechanially, it will be expected taht there remain the quantum fluctuations due to the uncertainty principle. Using the projection operator method (POM) and the theory of dynamical constraints, such fluctuation terms are systematically derived in the case of Abelian Chern-Simons theory. It is shown that these terms produce the effective mass in the complex scalar fields coupled to the CS fields.

PACS 03.70.+k - Theory of quantized fields

PACS 11.10.Ef - Lagrangian and Hamiltonian approach

1 Introduction

Untill now, Chern-Simons (CS) theories have been enormously investigated in the 2+1 dimensional gauge theory and applied to the field theory like the quantum gravity and the certain planner condensed matter physics such as the anyon physics and the quantum Hall effect.[1] [2] The CS theories are in the gauge theory and therefore are the constrained systems. Following the constrained Hamiltonian formalism[3]

---

[1] E-mail:mnakamur@hamamatsu-u.ac.jp

[4], then, the total Hamiltonians of the CS theories become identically zero. Since the constraints in the CS theories are the bosonic second-class ones, however, there exist the uncertainty relations among the corresponding operators. Transferring to the quantum theory, therefore, the quantum fluctuations of these operators become enhanced[5]. Then, it will be expected that the quantum corrections due to the uncertainty principle remain in the total Hamiltonian. When the CS theories are coupled with the matter fields, further, these terms will be expected to give the corrections to the matter fields. In this paper, we investigate these conjectures in the case of the Abelian Chern-Simons theory and the complex scalar filed by using the projection operator method (POM)[6] and the ACCS-expansion formulas in the dynamical constraints[5].

The present paper is organized as follows. In order to more clarify our process to quantize the gauge theory as the constrained system, in sect. 2, we review in detail the quantization of the pure Abelian CS theory, and the quantum correction terms due to the uncertainty principle are derived in the total Hamiltonian through the applying the ACCS-expansion formulas together with appropriate minimal unceratainty states. In sect. 3, the quantization of the complex scalar field coupled to the CS field is accomplished, and it is shown that the effective mass term appear in the total Hamiltonian of the matter field. The conclutions are given in sect. 4.

2 Quantization of Abelian Chern-Simons Theory

In order to clarify the proccedere of the quantization of the Chern-Simons (CS) theory in terms of the POM, in this section, we attempt the quantization of the 2+1 dimensional Abelian Chern-Simons theory with $U(1)$ symmetry.

The Lagrangian density of the pure CS theory described by the gauge fields $A_\mu(x) \quad (\mu = 0, 1, 2)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}^0_{CS} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\rho, \tag{2.1}$$

where the metric tensor $g^{\mu\nu} = (+1, -1, -1)$ and $\epsilon^{012} = 1$.

2.1 Hamiltonian and constraints

Let $L = \int d^2x \mathcal{L}_{CS}^0$ be the Lagrangian of the system, then, the canonical momenta $\Pi^\mu(x)$ are defined by[2]

$$\Pi^\mu = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{A}_\mu} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{0\mu k}A_k. \tag{2.2}$$

The canonical structure of the dynamical variables $A_\mu(x)$ and $\Pi^\mu(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\mu(x), A_\nu(y)]_{PB} &= [\Pi^\mu(x), \Pi^\nu(y)]_{PB} = 0, \\ [A_\mu(x), \Pi^\nu(y)]_{PB} &= g_\mu^\nu \delta^2(x-y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where the Poisson bracket $[\quad , \quad]_{PB}$, and the delta function $\delta^2(x-y) = \delta(x^1 - y^1)\delta(x^2 - y^2)$.

Now, the canonical momenta (2.2) are the primary constraints

$$\chi_1^\mu = \Pi^\mu - \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{0\mu k}A_k \approx 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Then, $\chi_1^\mu(x)$ satisfy the Poisson bracket algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi_1^0(x), \chi_1^0(y)]_{PB} &= [\chi_1^0(x), \chi_1^k(y)]_{PB} = 0, \\ [\chi_1^k(x), \chi_1^l(y)]_{PB} &= -\kappa\varepsilon^{kl}\delta^2(x-y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where $\varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon^{21} = 1$. So, it is convenient to classify the primary constraints into the following two:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1^0 &= \Pi^0 \approx 0, \\ \chi_1^k &= \Pi^k - \frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}A_l \approx 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Performing the Legendre transformation, then, the canonical Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_0 = \int dx^2 (-\frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}A_0\partial_k A_l + \frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}\partial_k A_0 A_l + u\chi_1^0 + u_k\chi_1^k), \tag{2.7}$$

where the unknown Lagrange multipliers $u = \dot{A}_0$ and $u_k = \dot{A}_k$.

Now, the consistency conditions for the time evolutions of the primary cosntraints, $\dot{\chi}_1^\mu = [\chi_1^\mu, H_0]_{PB} \approx 0$, yield the secondary constraint

$$\chi_2 = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}(\partial_k A_l - \partial_l A_k) \approx 0 \tag{2.8}$$

---

[2] the romanm indices $i, j, k, \cdots = 1, 2$ denote the spatial components.

and determine the Lagrange multipliers

$$u_k = \partial_k A_0. \tag{2.9}$$

Transferring to the quantum theory is accomplished through replacing the Poisson bracket into the commutator as follows:

$$[\quad,\quad]_{PB} \longrightarrow \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\quad,\quad]. \tag{2.10}$$

Let $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathrm{P}} = (A_\mu(x),\ \Pi^\mu(x))$ be the primary canonically conjugate set of the field operators, which has the commutator algebra $\mathcal{A}^0_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathrm{P}})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\mu(x), A_\nu(y)] &= [\Pi^\mu(x), \Pi^\nu(y)] = 0, \\ [A_\mu(x), \Pi^\nu(y)] &= i\hbar g^\nu_\mu \delta^2(x-y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

The primary Hamiltonian becomes

$$H_0 = \int d^2x \left(-\frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}(A_0\partial_k A_l + A_k\partial_l A_0) + \{u, \chi^0_1\}_{\mathrm{S}} + \{u_k, \chi^k_1\}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \tag{2.12}$$

where the symmetrized product of any two operators f and g

$$\{f, g\}_{\mathrm{S}} = \frac{1}{2}(fg + gf). \tag{2.13}$$

The consistent set of constaints consists of

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^0_1 &= \Pi^0, \\ \chi^k_1 &= \Pi^k - \frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}A_l \\ \chi_2 &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}(\partial_k A_l - \partial_l A_k), \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

which obeys the constraint algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [\chi^0_1(x), \chi^0_1(y)] &= [\chi^0_1(x), \chi^k_1(y)] = [\chi^0_1(x), \chi_2(y)] = 0 \\ [\chi^k_1(x), \chi^l_1(y)] &= -i\hbar\kappa\varepsilon^{kl}\delta^2(x-y), \\ [\chi_2(x), \chi_2(y)] &= 0, \\ [\chi^k_1(x), \chi_2(y)] &= -i\hbar\kappa\varepsilon^{kl}\partial_l\delta^2(x-y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

The constraint algebra (2.15) says that $\chi^0_1(x)$ is in the first class and χ^k_1, χ_2 are in the second class.

2.2 Sequential projection of operators

Following the POM, we perform the sequential transformations of the operators through introducing a series of th projection operators.

2.2.1 $\chi_1^k = 0$ sector

From the constraint algebra (2.15), the $ACCS$[6] of the operators χ_1^k are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}(\chi_1^1(x) + \chi_1^2(x)),\\ \Xi(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\kappa}}(\chi_1^1(x) - \chi_1^2(x)),\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

which satisfy the canonical commutaion relations (CCR)

$$\begin{aligned}[][\Theta(x), \Theta(y)] &= [\Xi(x), \Xi(y)] = 0,\\ [\Theta(x), \Xi(y)] &= i\hbar\delta^2(x-y).\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{I}}^0$ be the projection operator with respect to the constraints $\chi_1^k = 0$. Following the POM[6], then, we obtain the following results:

(i) Canonically conjugate set $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{I}}^0$

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{I}}^0 = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{I}}^0 \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}}^0 = (A_k(x), A_0(x), \Pi^0(x)) \quad \text{with} \quad \Pi^k(x) = \frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}A_l(x)$$

(ii) Cmmutator algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{I}}^0(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{I}}^0)$

$$\begin{aligned}[][A_0(x), \pi^0(y)] &= i\hbar\delta^2(x-y),\\ [A_k(x), A_l(y)] &= i\hbar\kappa^{-1}\varepsilon^{kl}\delta^2(x-y).\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

(iii) The projected Hamiltonian H_{I}

$$H_0 \longmapsto H_{\mathrm{I}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\mathrm{I}}^0 H_0 = \int d^2x (A_0\chi_2 + \{u_0, \chi_1^0\}_{\mathrm{S}}). \tag{2.19}$$

(iv) The remaining constraints and cosntraint algebra

$$\begin{aligned}\chi_1^0 &= 0,\\ \chi_2 &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}(\partial_k A_l - \partial_l A_k) = 0 \qquad \text{(Gauss' law constraint)}\end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\chi_1^0(x), \chi_1^0(y)] &= [\chi_2(x), \chi_2(y)] = [\chi_1^0(x), \chi_2(y)] = 0 \\
[\chi_1^0(x), H_{\mathrm{I}}] &= [\chi_2(x), H_{\mathrm{I}}] = 0.
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.21}
$$

Then, the remaining operator-constraints are in the first class, and the Hamiltonian is invariant under the the gauge transformation

$$
A_k \longmapsto A'_k = A_k - \partial_k g. \tag{2.22}
$$

The projection of field operators with respect to the first class constraints are performed with two ways, one of which is the gauge-fixing way, and the other, the gauge-unfixing one.

2.2.2 $\chi_1^0 = 0$ sector

(1) **The gauge fixing case**

As the gauge fixing condition, we adopt the Weyl gauge

$$
A_0(x) = 0. \tag{2.23}
$$

Let $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_{\mathrm{II}}$ be the projection operator for the *ACCS* $(A_0(x), \chi_1^0)$. Then, we obtain the following results.

(i) $\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathrm{II}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_{\mathrm{II}} \mathcal{C}^0_{\mathrm{I}} = (A_k(x))$

(ii) $\mathcal{A}^0_{\mathrm{II}}(\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathrm{II}}) = \mathcal{A}^0_{\mathrm{I}}(\mathcal{C}^0_{\mathrm{II}})$

(iii) $H_{\mathrm{II}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_{\mathrm{II}} H_{\mathrm{I}} = 0.$

(2) **The gauge unfixing case**

Let the *ACCS* be $(A_0(x), \chi_1^0(x))$. Then, the projection operator, $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_\chi$, eliminating the constraint-operator $\chi_0^1(x)$ is given by

$$
\hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_\chi = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^n}{n!} (\hat{\chi}_0^{1(+)})^n (\hat{A}_0^{(-)})^n, \tag{2.24}
$$

which satisfies

$$
\hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_\chi \chi_0^1 = 0, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_\chi A_0 = A_0. \tag{2.25}
$$

Then, the following results are given.

(i) $\mathcal{C}^0_{\text{II'}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_\chi \mathcal{C}^0_{\text{I}} = (A_k(x), A_0(x))$

(ii) $\mathcal{A}^0_{\text{II'}}(\mathcal{C}^0_{\text{II'}}) = \mathcal{A}^0_{\text{I}}(\mathcal{C}^0_{\text{II'}})$

(iii) $H_{\text{II'}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^0_\chi H_{\text{I}} = \int d^2x A_0(x)\chi_2(x)$,

where $A_0(x)$ is the c-number field.

2.2.3 $\chi_2 = 0$ sector

For the Gauss' law constrtaint $\chi_2 = -\frac{\kappa}{2}\varepsilon^{kl}(\partial_k A_l - \partial_l A_k)$, the *ACCS* is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(x) &= n^k A_k(x), \\ \varpi(x) &= -\int d^2z g^A(x,y)\chi_2(y), \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

where n_k is the c-number operator with $n_k n^k = 1$, and the 2-point function $g^A(x,y)$ is defined as follows:

$$g^A(x,y) = n_1\epsilon(x^1,y^1)\delta(x^2-y^2) + n_2\delta(x^1-y^1)\epsilon(x^2,y^2) \tag{2.27}$$

with $\epsilon(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta(x-y) - \theta(y-x))$ ($\theta(x)$: the step function). Then, $\xi(x)$ and $\varpi(x)$ obey the CCR

$$\begin{aligned} &[\xi(x), \xi(y)] = [\varpi(x), \varpi(y)] = 0 \\ &[\xi(x), \varpi(y)] = i\hbar\delta^2(x-y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

(1) gauge fixing case

The gauge condition $n^k A_k(x) = 0$ is the axial gauge in the n^k direction. Let $\hat{\mathcal{P}}^A$ be the projection operator of the *ACCS* ξ and ϖ. Then, we obtain the following results.

(i) $\mathcal{C}^0_{\text{A}} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A \mathcal{C}^0_{\text{II'}} = (A_k(x), A_0(x))$
with $\varepsilon^{kl}\partial_k A_l(x) = 0$ and the c-number field $A_0(x)$,

(ii) $\mathcal{A}_A(\mathcal{C}^0_{\text{A}})$:

$$[A_k(x), A_l(y)] = 0, \tag{2.29}$$

(iii) $H_{\rm A} = \hat{\mathcal{P}}^A H_{\rm II'} = 0.$

(2) gauge unfixing case

The projection operator for the Gauss'law constraint $\chi_2 = 0$ is given by

$$\hat{\mathcal{P}}_{\varpi} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} (\hat{\varpi}^{(+)})^n (\hat{\xi}^{(-)})^n. \tag{2.30}$$

Then, we obtain the equivalent results to the gauge fixing case, besides $n^k A_k = c$.

2.3 *ACCS*-expansion of CS theory

Let the *ACCS* be $(Q(x), P(x))$. Then, the *ACCS*-expansion of any field operator $O(x)$ is written as[5]

$$O(x) = \sum_{n,m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!m!} (\hat{Q}^{(+)})^n (\hat{P}^{(+)})^m \hat{\mathcal{P}} (\hat{Q}^{(-)})^m (\hat{P}^{(-)})^n O(x), \tag{2.31}$$

where

$$(\hat{F}^{(+)})^n \cdots (\hat{G}^{(-)})^n = \int d^2 z_1 \cdots d^2 z_n \hat{F}^{(+)}(z_1) \cdots \hat{F}^{(+)}(z_n) \cdots \hat{G}^{(-)}(z_n) \cdots \hat{G}^{(-)}(z_1).$$

Let $\mathcal{H}^c$ be the subspace of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, on which the *ACCS* operates[5], and we introduce the *hyper*-operator $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$ as follows:

$$\hat{\mathbf{P}} O(x) = \frac{< \Phi \mid O(x) \mid \Phi >}{< \Phi \mid \Phi >} \tag{2.32}$$

with

$$\Phi \in \mathcal{H}^c. \tag{2.33}$$

Then, the effective Hamiltonian $H^{\rm eff}$, which contain the quantum corrections due to the uncertainty principle, is given by

$$H^{\rm eff} = \hat{\mathbf{P}} H = \hat{\mathcal{P}} H + H^{\rm eff}_{\rm qc}, \tag{2.34}$$

where the additional term is defined by

$$H^{\rm eff}_{\rm qc} = \sum_{n+m \neq 0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!m!} < (\hat{Q}^{(+)})^n (\hat{P}^{(+)})^m \cdot 1 >_{\Phi} \hat{\mathcal{P}} (\hat{Q}^{(-)})^m (\hat{P}^{(-)})^n H, \tag{2.35}$$

where

$$< (\hat{Q}^{(+)})^n(\hat{P}^{(+)})^m \cdot 1 >_\Phi = \mathbf{P}(\hat{Q}^{(+)})^n(\hat{P}^{(+)})^m \cdot 1. \tag{2.36}$$

The ground state in a minimal uncertainty state is appropriate to be adopted as the state vector $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}^c$.[5]. Becaude of the linearity of the field operators, then, the additional term $H_{\mathrm{qc}}^{\mathrm{eff}}$ in the pure Abelian CS-theory becomes zero. So, the effective total Hamiltonian also becomes zero.

3 Quantum effect in complex scalar fields

3.1 Quantization of complex scalar field in the Abelian CS-theory

The Lagrangian of the complex scalar field $\phi(x), \phi^*(x)$ coupled to the Abelian CS fields is given by

$$L = \int d^2x(\overline{D_\mu\phi}D^\mu\phi + \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho}A_\mu\partial_\nu A_\rho), \tag{3.1}$$

which is invariant under the U(1)-gauge transformation with $U = e^{ig(x)}$, where the covariant derivative $D_\mu = \partial_\mu - iA_\mu(x)$ and $\bar{\phi} = \phi^*$. Following the program shown in the previous section, we perform the quantization of the system.

The canonical momenta are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{\phi}(x)} = \dot{\phi}^*(x) + iA_0(x)\phi^*(x) \\ \pi^*(x) &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{\phi}^*(x)} = \dot{\phi}(x) - iA_0(x)\phi^*(x) \\ \Pi^\mu &= \frac{\partial L}{\partial\dot{A}_\mu} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{0\mu k}A_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

Then, we obatin the following the constrained Hamiltonian system $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}}; H_{\mathrm{P}}; \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{P}})$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}} &= \{(\phi,\pi),(\phi^*,\pi^*),(A_\mu,\Pi^\mu)\}, \\ H_{\mathrm{P}} &= \int d^2x(\pi^*\pi - \overline{(D\phi)}\cdot(D\phi) + A_0\chi_G + u_\mu\chi^\mu), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{P}} &= \{\chi^\mu, \chi_G\}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $\overline{(D\phi)} \cdot (D\phi) = \overline{(D^k\phi)}(D_k\phi)$ and the consistent set of constraints $\mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{P}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\mu &= \Pi^\mu - \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{0\mu\nu}A_\nu \approx 0, \\ \chi_G &= j_0 - \kappa\epsilon^{kl}\partial_k A_l \approx 0 \quad \text{with } \; j_0 = i(\pi\phi - \bar{\phi}\pi) \quad \text{(Gauss' law constraint).} \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The primary commutator algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{P}}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}})$ is represented as

$$\begin{aligned} &[\phi(x), \pi(y)] = [\phi^*(x), \pi^*(y)] = i\hbar\delta^2(x-y), \\ &[A_\mu(x), \Pi^\nu(y)] = i\hbar g_\mu^\nu \delta^2(x-y), \\ &(\text{the others}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

Eliminating the second-class constraint-operators $\chi^k = \Pi^k - \frac{\kappa}{2}\epsilon^{kl}A_l$ and introducing the Weyl gauge $A_0(x) = 0$, then, $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}}; H_{\mathrm{P}}; \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{P}})$ is transformed to the constrained system $(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{S}}; H_{\mathrm{S}}; \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{S}})$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{P}} &\mapsto \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{S}} = \{(\phi, \pi), (\phi^*, \pi^*), (A_k)\}, \\ H_{\mathrm{P}} &\mapsto H_{\mathrm{S}} = \int d^2x (\pi^*\pi - \overline{(D\phi)} \cdot (D\phi)), \\ \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{P}} &\mapsto \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{S}} = \{\chi_{\mathrm{G}}\}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

The commutator-algebra of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &[\phi(x), \pi(y)] = [\phi^*(x), \pi^*(y)] = i\hbar\delta^2(x-y), \\ &[A_k(x), A_l(y)] = i\hbar\kappa^{-1}\epsilon^{kl}\delta^2(x-y), \\ &(\text{the others}) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

As well as in the pure Abelian CS theory, finally, the constrained system satisfying the Gauss' law $\kappa^{kl}\partial_k A_l(x) = j_0(x)$ becomes as follows:

$$(\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{S}}; H_{\mathrm{S}}; \mathcal{K}_{\mathrm{S}}) \mapsto (\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{G}}; H_{\mathrm{G}}), \tag{3.8}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{G}} &= \{(\phi, \pi), (\phi^*, \pi^*), (A_k)\} \\ &\qquad \text{with} \quad n^k A_k(x) = 0, \quad \kappa\varepsilon^{kl}\partial_k A_l(x) = j_0(x), \\ H_{\mathrm{G}} &= \int d^2x (\pi^*\pi - \overline{(D\phi)} \cdot (D\phi)). \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

Under the axial gauge, the commutator of $A_k(x)$ becomes zero,

$$[A_k(x), A_l(y)] = 0. \tag{3.10}$$

3.2 Quantum Fluctuations of Constraints

When introducing the ground state of the minimal uncertainty states as the state vector $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}^c$ in the additional term (2.36), the quantum correction term is produced from $\int d^2x A^k(x) A_k(x) \phi^*(x)\phi(x)$. In the case of the coherent state,

$$< Q \mid \Phi >= \left(\frac{1}{\pi\hbar}\right)^{1/4} \exp[-\frac{1}{2\hbar} Q \cdot Q], \tag{3.11}$$

the additional term $H^{\text{eff}}_{\text{qc}}$ in the constrained system $(\mathcal{C}_{\text{S}}; H_{\text{S}}; \mathcal{K}_{\text{S}})$ becomes

$$H^{\text{eff}}_{\text{qc}} = \int d^2x \frac{\hbar}{2\kappa} \phi^* \phi, \tag{3.12}$$

which produces the effective mass of the scalar field. Taking account of the *Gauss' law* sector, further, it becomes

$$H^{\text{eff}}_{\text{qc}} = \int d^2x \hbar (1 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}) \phi^* \phi. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, we obatain the effective Hamiltonian containing the quantum corrections caused by the uncertainty relations of the constraint-operators

$$H^{\text{eff}} = \int d^2x (\pi^* \pi - \overline{(D\phi)} \cdot (D\phi) + \mu^2 \phi^* \phi), \tag{3.14}$$

where

$$\mu^2 = \hbar (1 + \frac{1}{2\kappa}). \tag{3.15}$$

4 Conclusions

We have investigated the quantum corrections of constraints in gauge theories when imposing the constraints in the operator form. In order to forcus the quantum corrections due to the uncertainty relations among the constraint-operators, we have taken the pure Abelian CS theory and the complex scalar fields coupled to the CS theory as the gauge-invariant system. Then, we have obtained the following results.

(i) Because of the linearity in the gauge-fields, the pure Abelian CS theory has no correction due to the uncertainty principle.
(ii) In the complex scalar field system, the quantum fluctuations of the gauge fields produce the effective mass in the matterl field.

Then, it will be expected that it is one of the most interesting problems in hte gauge theory to investigate the relation of our mechanism to produce the effective mass with the Higgs mechanism.[8]

References

[1] G. Dunne, *Aspect of Chern-Simons Theory*, hep-th/9902115.
G. Dunne, R. Jackiw and C. Trugenberger, Ann. Phys. **194**, 197 (1989).

[2] S.Deser, R.Jackiw and S.Templeton, Ann. Phys. **140**, 372 (1982).

[3] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Quantization of Gauge Systems.* (Priceton University Press, Princeton (1992)).

[4] L.Faddeev and R.Jackiw, Phys.Rev.Lett. **60**, 1692 (1988).

[5] M. Nakamura and K. Kojima, Nuovo Cimento B, **116B**, 287 (2001).

[6] M. Nakamura and N. Mishima,Prog. Theor. Phys. **81**, 451 (1989).

[7] M. Nakamura and R. Suzuki, Prog. Theor. Phys. **64**, 1086 (1980).

[8] P. W. Higgs, Phys. Rev.**145**,1156 (1966).